Capnography as an aid in localizing the phrenic nerve in brachial plexus surgery. Technical note
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Abstract

Background: To determine whether monitoring end-tidal Carbon Dioxide (capnography) can be used to reliably identify the phrenic nerve during the supraclavicular exploration for brachial plexus injury.

Methods: Three consecutive patients with traction pan-brachial plexus injuries scheduled for neurotization were evaluated under an anesthetic protocol to allow intraoperative electrophysiology. Muscle relaxants were avoided, anaesthesia was induced with propofol and fentanyl and the airway was secured with an appropriate sized laryngeal mask airway. Routine monitoring included heart rate, noninvasive blood pressure, pulse oximetry and time capnography. The phrenic nerve was identified after blind bipolar electrical stimulation using a handheld bipolar nerve stimulator set at 2–4 mA. The capnographic wave form was observed by the neuroanesthetist and simultaneous diaphragmatic contraction was assessed by the surgical assistant. Both observers were blinded as to when the bipolar stimulating electrode was actually in use.

Results: In all patients, the capnographic wave form revealed a notch at a stimulating amplitude of about 2–4 mA. This became progressively jagged with increasing current till diaphragmatic contraction could be palpated by the blinded surgical assistant at about 6–7 mA.

Conclusion: Capnography is a sensitive intraoperative test for localizing the phrenic nerve during the supraclavicular approach to the brachial plexus.
stimulating electrode was actually in use. Once the phrenic nerve was approximately localized, sharp dissection was commenced to identify the same.

**Results**

In all patients, the capnographic wave form revealed a notch at a low electrical stimulating current of about 2–4 mA. This became progressively jagged with increasing current strengths till diaphragmatic contraction could be palpated by the blinded surgical assistant at about twice the amplitude (6–7 mA). (Fig 1)

**Discussion**

Brachial plexus lesions most frequently affect the supraclavicular region rather than the retroclavicular or infraclavicular levels. Hence, the supraclavicular approach is the most commonly performed for traumatic brachial plexus repair. Intraplexal and extraplexal nerve-transfers are increasingly being utilized for brachial plexus reconstruction aimed at restoring elbow flexion and shoulder abduction. Commonly used donor nerves are the thoracic intercostals, the medial pectoral, the phrenic and the spinal accessory nerves.

Intraoperative monitoring of nerve repair using electrodiagnostic techniques aids the surgeon in the dissection, identification and localization of nerves and also helps in assessing nerve function. Electrodiagnosis proves valuable, more so, in a setting of extensive fibrosis in the supraclavicular compartment frequently encountered after traction brachial plexus injuries. This makes identification of the Scalenus anticus, behind which the C5 and C6 nerve roots lie, very difficult especially when this key muscle is fibrosed and merges with the surrounding neuroma. The muscle is then indirectly identified as the tissue lying behind the phrenic nerve. The phrenic nerve is the only structure in the medial supraclavicular area which passes from lateral to medial. Thus, phrenic nerve identification is the crucial initial step in the supraclavicular approach for brachial plexus repair. Direct visualization may not be possible even under high magnification as the phrenic nerve too is often encased by scar tissue. Hence, blind stimulation using a hand held bipolar electrical stimulator and judging the contractile response of the diaphragm manually is a useful aid in initial localization before attempting scar tissue release with sharp dissection.

Other surgical techniques to identify the phrenic nerve include following the supraclavicular nerve proximally till the C4 root in order to identify the Phrenic nerve. However, most brachial plexus surgeons prefer to use intraoperative electrical stimulation.

Monitoring phrenic nerve stimulation using lower chest wall electrodes may produce false-positive results due to
co-activation of the brachial plexus.[5,6] Other possible technical problems include overstimulation, stimulus artifacts, electrical noise, and high recording electrode impedance which may diminish reliability and increase the duration of the procedure.[7]

On the other hand, capnography is a routine and mandatory anaesthetic monitoring device. In this study, a notch in the capnograph could be obtained at a lower stimulus intensity than palpable diaphragmatic contraction. Phrenic nerve stimulation in an anesthetized non-paralyzed patient produces sub-clinical diaphragmatic contraction which mimics inspiration. This produces a drop in ETC0₂ which is reflected as a notch on the time capnograph. These observations were similar and reproducible in all the three patients. The authors could not come across any report in medical literature utilizing this attribute of capnography as an indicator of phrenic nerve stimulation in brachial plexus surgery in a non-paralysed patient. Electromyographic electrode placement to detect phrenic nerve activity may also be affected by concurrent stimulation of the other intraplexal nerves such as the thoracodorsal.[8] A notch in the capnogram, however, cannot be produced upon stimulation of the brachial plexus, thereby rendering this technique not only highly sensitive but also highly specific. The phrenic nerve also has a large number of motor axons and thus serves as an excellent donor nerve.[9] Capnography thus may help prevent inadvertent damage to the same by alerting the surgeon to its presence in difficult cases with extensive scarring.

Conclusion
Capnography is a sensitive intraoperative test for localizing the phrenic nerve during the supraclavicular approach to the brachial plexus.
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